

PENINSULA COMMUNITY PLANNING BOARD MINUTES

September 6, 2007

Chapel Room – Point Loma Presb. Church

By-Laws Special Meeting

This special meeting was scheduled to review, discuss and/or vote on the sub-committees recommendations of the By-Laws Shell.

I. Parliamentary items:

Meeting called to order by Chair, Dee Wylie at 6:30 PM.

A. Minutes approval:

: Not applicable

B. Treasurers Report:

Not applicable

C. Non-Agenda items:

G. Page requested the board discuss non-agenda items. Because this was a special meeting regarding by-laws, it is not legal to discuss anything other than the by Laws.

D. Board Attendance:

D.Wylie, C. Shinn, H. Kinnaird, Cal Jones, G. Page, M Scherer, K. Rhodes, G. Robinson, M. Valentine G. Halbert. D. Davis, J. Shumaker, P. Rank, M. Hoppe (Excused Absent: S. Khalil)

E. Government attendance:

City of San Diego/Max Stalheim, Esq.

F. Chair Report:

Not applicable

II Government Reports/Public Communication:

Not applicable

III. Action Items:

A. G. Page made the following motion “to adjourn this meeting, because the meeting was improperly called under the current by-laws”. After further discussion the following motion was made “to end the discussion” The motion passed MSC 7-6-0

B. A motion was made” to adjourn” Motion failed. MSC 4-(MV,MS,GP,PR) - 8 (MH,GH,JS,HK, GR, CS, KR ,DD opposed) – 1 (CJ abstained because of his personal involvement in the situation)

C. (Article I) The following motion was made “to keep the Peninsula Community Planning Board name”- The motion passed MSC 13-0-0

D.. (Article I) The following motion was made “remove the verbiage attempting to describe the boundary of our Peninsula Community, as well as to include an accurate boundary map provided by the City”. The motion passed. MSC 13-0-0

E. On a point of order, a discussion was held on the following: ‘should the board utilize the “agenda” provided by Dee Wylie’. (Referenced to the second item on the Agenda regarding a series of bullet points of Dee’s notes from previous subcommittee meetings. These notes indicated there were considerable discussion and/or disagreement at the subcommittee level on a particular item). The following motion was made: “to use Dee’s agenda items in lieu of going in sequential order on the 22 page outline shell” The motion passed

F. (Article III section 2) the following motion was made: “To accept proposed verbiage if the words or their designee is removed from items 1 and 3” Motion passed. MSC 10 -2 (JS, CJ opposed)-0

*Please note H. Kinnaird left meeting therefore total 12

G. (Article III section 2):

The following motion was made “ to keep’ the one’ regular meeting attendance requirement to be eligible as a candidate for the board “The motion failed. MSC 5-7-0

After further discussion regarding the above issue, the following motion was made “to end the discussion” The motion passed. MSC 9- 2(GP, M? opposed)-1

After further discussion, the following motion was proposed: “to change attendance requirement to three regular meetings to be an eligible candidate for the board. “ The motion failed. MSC 5 -7opposed-0

After further discussion, the following motion was made “to change attendance requirement to two regular meetings to be an eligible candidate of the board “ The motion failed. MSC 5- 6 opposed -1

After further discussion, the following motion was made “to end discussion” The motion passed. MSC 12-0-0

The following motion was made “to change attendance requirement to a zero attendance to a regular meeting to be an eligible candidate of the board “The-motion passed. MSC 7–5 (MV, MS, PR, GP, KR opposed) -0

* It was noted at this time that the City’s virgin outline shell copy distributed at the meeting for reference was dated April 07. There is a more updated version dated June 07, which is being used in the PCPB proposed draft of the by-laws. It was discussed that it is the boards understanding that items which deviate from the City proposed outlined By-Laws Shell will be considered a “deviation” and may require additional review by City before approval

H. (Article V section) 1: There was discussion regarding establishing a deadline date for candidacy regarding inclusion on the written ballot/slate for the March General Election. The following motion was made “to establish March 1st as the deadline date” The motion failed. MSC 5–7-0

IV: Committee Reports:

A. Not applicable.

V. New/Old business

A. Not applicable.

VI. Adjournment:

A. Due to time restraints, the meeting was adjourned. The next By-Laws meeting to be scheduled will begin with Article V.

Respectfully submitted,

Helen Kinnaird/Darrold Davis Peninsula Community Planning Board

*WEBSTIE = < WWW.PCPB.NET >

dd:12-3-07 draft

dd: 12-20-07 final